PDH Now, LLC. 7100 Driftwood Dr. Farmington, NM 874024

Couse Description

This Ethics course satisfies the continuing education requirement for all states.

This course is designed as a distance learning interactive course that enables professional land surveyors to renew the emphasis that his or her professional license status gives recognition to the expert knowledge attained by the surveyor and grants privilege to practice the profession of surveying. The PLS will learn the definition of ethics and origin of the term, and the link between ethics and professionalism. The different, and at times conflicting, areas of ethical obligation for land surveyors will be reviewed. This online PDH course will also examine ethical areas unique to land surveyors, such as ethics in the map review process and ethical considerations when setting property corner monuments as part of a boundary survey.

Objectives

The primary objective of this course is to familiarize the professional land surveyor with the standards of professional behavior and the highest principals of ethical conduct as to make practical application of those principals by reviewing real case studies.

Upon successful completion of the course, the professional land surveyor will be trained in exhibiting the highest standards of honesty and integrity as required by the professional land surveyor's license and profession. In addition, the PLS will:

- Understand the relationship between ethics and professionalism
- Identify the ethical obligations for a land surveyor
- Understand how it is important for professional land surveyors to say 'no', even to clients and supervisors
- Understand how the public, other land surveyors, and the US legal system views monument placement.

Grading

Students must achieve a minimum score of 70% on the online quiz to pass this course.

The quiz may be taken three times.

The student will be asked at the end of the quiz to attest that he or she has personally and successfully completed all chapters of instruction.

The guiz may be viewed in the final chapter of this course.

Couse Inquiry

This course is designed to be interactive. The student is encouraged to contact us to discuss any questions that arise while taking this course. All inquiries will be answered within two days or less. The reader can contact PDH *Now* as follows:

By Email: info@pdhnow.com

By Phone: 1-833-PDHNOW9 (1-833-734-6699)

Ethics I for Professional Land Surveyors

Course Outline

Contents

Couse Description	2
Objectives	2
Grading	2
Couse Inquiry	3
Approaching Ethics	7
Purpose	7
Ethics and Morality	7
Arguments for Being Ethical	7
Living the Ethical Life	8
A matter of opinion.	8
Ethical Theories	9
Virtue Ethics	9
Utilitarian Ethics	9
Kantianism - The Ethics of Principle	10
Contract Theory	10
The Golden Rule: Common Sense Ethics	11
Serving the Public and Professional Ethics	12
Exploring the Ethics of Work	12

Knowing the difference between jobs and professions	12
Exploring the relationship between professions and society	13
Walking the line: What professionals are required to do	14
Examining two general problems in professional ethics	15
Analyzing the Diversity of Professional Ethics	17
Ethics Can Be Hard	17
Reason #1: Professional ethics aren't adequately covered in our education as land	•
Reason #2: Land surveyors downplay the complexity and conflicting interests of challenges	
Reason #3: Land surveyors can become trapped in a downward spiral of unethical	
Reason #4: Enforcement of ethical behavior is difficult	
Areas of Ethical Obligation For Land Surveyors	21
Obligations To Your Client	21
Obligations to Your Employer	22
Obligations to Your Employees	22
Obligations To Your Business Partners	23
Obligations To Your Profession	24
Obligations to the Public	24
Ethical Considerations For The Boundary Survey Map Review Process	25
Introduction	25
What Is The Map Review Process?	25
Who Is Involved In The Map Review Process?	26

Ethical C	Considerations For Each Role In The Map Review Process	26
How Land	Surveyors Monitor Ethical Performance	30
Self-Reg	gulation of Land Surveyors	30
Enforcin	g Ethical Performance Through The Requirements of State Law	32
Enforcin	g Ethical Performance Through The Common Law	33
FINDING 1	THE COURAGE TO SAY NO	33
Introduc	tion	33
Example	Scenarios	34
Why Do	es It Take Courage?	37
The Bott	tom Line	37
THE WEIG	SHT OF MONUMENT PLACEMENT	38
Introduc	tion	38
How Mo	nument Placement Is Viewed By Others: Non- Surveyors	39
How Mo	nument Placement Is Viewed By Others: Fellow Boundary Surveyors	40
How Mo	nument Placement Is Viewed By Others: The Legal System	40
Course Ex	amination	42

Approaching Ethics

Purpose

Ethics is defined as the study of standards of conduct and moral judgment; moral philosophy. The purpose of this course is to provide guidelines for ethical conduct for practitioners engaged in surveying activities.

Ethics and Morality

Ethics and morality can be condensed into one simple concept that can be expressed using the words "should" and "ought". Good and right actions are actions that you "ought" to do. Bad character behavior is something that you "should" try not to develop. Ethics is about something a little bit more ambitious than science. It is about the way the world "ought" to be or "should" be. How you, as a practitioner, find out what you ought to do is the subject of this course.

While the terms "ethics" and "morality" have two different definitions in the dictionary, they are most often used interchangeably, without any effort to distinguish between the ideas. You can argue whether something is immoral or just unethical, whether someone has ethics but no morals, or whether ethics is about society, but morality is about the individual. In the end these arguments are moot because both ethics and morality are actually about the same. If it's true an act is immoral then you ought not do it. The situation doesn't change if the act is unethical. It is still something you ought not do. With regard to ethics and morality, you need to find out what the relationship between you and your client or associates should be and how you should act, feel and think toward them based on that relationship.

While it is not necessary to differentiate ethics and morality, it is necessary to distinguish between concepts of ethics (or morality) and legality. There is some overlap between ethics and the law, but they are not always congruent. In certain instances, an act may be illegal but ethically acceptable. Exceeding the speed limit to rush someone to a hospital in an emergency is a good example. If ethics and legality were the same thing, all laws would be ethical, and all ethical acts would be permitted under the law.

Arguments for Being Ethical

The most basic question posed as a result of a study of ethics is:" Why be ethical?" There are two basic responses:

1. Ethics pays off. It is in a person's self-interest to be ethical. An ethical person can develop a much richer relationship with colleagues and clients because people trust the ethical person to do what is right.

- 2. Ethics is required in order to live a life of integrity...to do what is right. Two features of integrity stand out:
 - Internal integrity which involves a state of wholeness or completeness. A
 person has a strong sense of who he or she ought to be.
 - b. External integrity which points to the need of making sure that the principles, character traits, or behaviors that compose a person's ideal way of living are the right ones.

Living the Ethical Life

Creating an ethical life plan is important because making a commitment to being ethical is important. The following steps or actions constitute a start down the ethical path.

- 1. Take stock. Identify your current customary practices and ethical intuitions by doing the following:
 - a. Determine your mindfulness by being aware and knowing what you do, what you think, and how you ethically feel about things.
 - Identify what your moral intuitions and beliefs are because they form your moral core.
- 2. Build your moral framework. Be mindful of your intuitions and use them to identify the ethical theory that most closely approximates your way of thinking.
- 3. Identify where you need to go. Once you have solidified your intuitions and developed a solid moral core, you may have to do things you do not currently do in order to establish an ethical life plan. Similarly, you may need to reject some of your current habits.
- 4. In summary, figure out who you need to be and make sure you follow through, assuring that your life plan and actions reflect your core intuitions and values.

A matter of opinion.

Many discussions pertaining to ethics end by concluding that "It's just all a matter of opinion". Three theories that support this thought include: (1) subjectivism, (2) cultural relativism, and (3) emotivism. Many philosophers have found those theories to be seriously flawed because they do not stand up to scrutiny when closely examined.

Subjectivism says that ethical statements really are just statements of personal opinion and nothing more. This seems to entail that a person is completely infallible about ethics and, as most of us know, this is not always true.

Cultural relativism is the ethical theory that says right and wrong are relative to one's culture and that no one universal ethical standard transcends culture. This approach is usually intended to promote tolerance of other cultures. Problems associated with this theory include the definition of culture, the lack of toleration of other cultures, and the fact that the term itself is contradictory.

Emotivism is the view that ethical standards are really just expressions of emotions and not statements of fact. To describe all the ethics as expressions of emotions involves saying one of two things:

- 1. Rational arguments about ethics do not make sense.
- 2. Somehow, expressions of emotions can be part of the argument.

The flaw in emotivism is that: (1) people make rational ethical arguments all the time and, (2) it is not clear how expressions of emotions can be part of arguments.

Ethical Theories

Ethical theories are ways of understanding what human beings ought to do or be. A total of five major ethical theories have been identified and will be briefly discussed in this section.

Virtue Ethics

According to virtue ethics, what is most important to ethical life is the commitment to being a good and virtuous person. Virtue ethics is concerned more with character and less with actions or rules. To become a virtuous person you have to dedicate yourself to being an excellent human being. When you ethically focus on character, you hope that you and the people around you have admirable character traits such as honesty, courage, or loyalty. Virtue ethics stresses the fact that character, whether good or bad, defines a person. Clearly, some character traits are good and others are bad. Good character traits are called virtues and bad traits are called vices. The more virtuous traits you have, the more admirable you are as a person. Caring about developing the right character is a non-stop challenge at all times...it is a way of life. The moral of the story in virtue ethics is that life has no ethical-free zones.

Utilitarian Ethics

Consequentialist theories stress the importance of focusing on the consequences of a person's actions. The most popular consequentialist theory is called utilitarianism

which argues that, if you can increase the overall happiness of the world in some way, then you should. Consequentialist ethical theories separate right and wrong actions by focusing on the degree of good (or bad) consequences the actions produce. Utilitarianism is the form of consequentialism that evaluates consequences by how much happiness, or conversely, how much suffering they contain. Think of utilitarianism as the consequentialist theory in which good consequences are defined in terms of happiness and suffering.

Kantianism - The Ethics of Principle

The 19th century philosopher, Immanuel Kant, laid out the framework for an ethical theory arguing that all the answers to ethical questions can be found in principles determined by practical reason. He thought that one single, supreme underlying principle, which he called the categorical imperative, gave rise to all other ethically important principles. He thought this underlying principle was accessible to everyone using something called practical reason, or rationality. This is the root of Kantian ethics, which Kant believed separates human beings from all other animal species. Since Kant's ethics are based on principles, it is important to differentiate principles from rules. Essentially, rules are a set of guidelines imposed by external authorities while principles are laws you apply to yourself or personally embrace. Kant believed that the principles you live by should be those forged by your own practical reason. So the defining struggle in an ethical life is the battle between two forces that motivate human actions.

- 1. Inclination. Acting from inclination is when you are motivated by what you naturally want to do. Inclinations are your natural habits.
- 2. Duty. Acting from duty is when you are motivated by the principle forged by practical reason. When your own rationality provides a source of motivation to act, you are doing something for the simple reason that it is the right thing to do. Kant calls this acting from the motive of duty.

Contract Theory

A type of ethical theory called contract theory attempts to base ethics on actual or hypothetical agreements between human beings. Essentially, the theory advocates that "the right thing to do" does not depend on consequences or principles or virtues but, instead, on agreements between people. In other words, ethics literally does not exist until people enter into certain agreements about what one person can do to another person. This way of thinking about ethics is known as the contract theory. The word "contract" can be confusing because what immediately enters people's minds is

signing a piece of paper. However, in addition to written contracts, there are verbal agreements. These verbal agreements are made with a handshake and, simply, a tacit understanding. Contract theorist take implicit contracts more as models of written contracts. At their essence, contracts are just agreements between people who act in certain ways. Most contract theorists do not care to model ethics on contracts people make, because these contracts may be exploitative. Rather, they focus on the contracts people would make if they were thinking rationally. Ethics thus depends on the best contracts people could possibly make with one another.

The Golden Rule: Common Sense Ethics

This ethical theory is nothing more than the act of embracing the Golden Rule. In other words, treat others as you wish to be treated. The Golden Rule and its many variations have endured for many centuries. It has such endurance because of many redeeming qualities, including the following:

- 1. It appeals to common sense
- 2. It is short, clear and simple
- 3. It builds on motivations and feelings people already have
- 4. It has an obvious and immediate practical importance.

The Golden Rule has endured for many thousands of years because of the following reasons, which are based on the rule's redeeming qualities.

- 1. It is easy to learn and understand. Ethics can involve complicated reasoning, but the Golden Rule makes it easy to explain why an action is right or wrong.
- 2. It makes sense. The Golden Rule has the advantage of being truly commonsensical to people regardless of their particular cultural, religious or historical background.
- 3. It motivates people. Successful ethical approaches tend to succeed in building onto motivational structures and desires that people already possess.
- 4. It helps maintain civilized society. If you want to live in an efficient and orderly society, widespread use of the Golden Rule is crucial. In fact, most actions leading to social unrest, chaos, or fear spring from a rejection of the Golden Rule's way of thinking.

Ethical theories can sometimes get really complicated. With the Golden Rule, all you need to do is view a situation from how someone else would see it before you act.

Serving the Public and Professional Ethics

Exploring the Ethics of Work

By and large, ethical responsibilities at work are a lot like ethical responsibilities in the rest of life. After all, deception, coercion, and harm are just as wrong in the workplace as they are in your home or community. When people enter the workplace, they don't step into a magical portal where anything goes. In fact, in the professional workplace, some jobs require even more of you from an ethical standpoint. What these additional responsibilities are depends on your job or profession.

Some people even choose lives where they're called to use their professional skills on their days off. For example, doctors may receive patient care questions in the middle of the night, lawyers unexpectedly may have to go to court to oppose motions, journalists may have to drop everything to cover a story when it occurs, and so on. You never really "go home" from work in some professions.

In addition to living up to standards in your personal life, professional ethics may require you to go above and beyond the call of duty. So as a professional, your job may require you to follow more specific and difficult ethical standards. Don't make the mistake of thinking professionals can live outside of ethics. Professional work can actually be a lot more ethically demanding than the rest of life.

Knowing the difference between jobs and professions

Sometimes work is just work – it simply pays the Williams. This is often the case when one's job doesn't have a lot of effect on other people's lives. But, of course, there is no job that has *no* effect on people's lives.

But in some jobs, society expects more care from the people who take them on, and this is where professional ethics take the stage. A doctor, for instance, must operate with more meticulous standards than a grocery store checkout clerk. This assessment isn't meant to patronize grocery store clerks, but it's clearly a slightly less demanding job than being, say, a brain surgeon.

The jobs that require higher standards of conduct generally are called *professions* as opposed to simply *jobs* or slightly more complicated *trades*. But defining a profession

as simply "not a job or a trade" isn't enough. The definition needs to explain what it is about professions that make them so special.

Here are some principal characteristics that make professions unique from jobs and trades:

- Professions require significant amounts of training.
- The training generally requires some significant intellectual component.
- Professional work provides an important service to society.
- Professionals have a great deal of latitude to exercise their skills to protect the public.
- Often a profession fosters the networking of large groups of other professionals in the field, leading to the creation of professional societies (like the American Medical Association for doctors). These societies usually are in charge of fashioning the profession's ethics code and credentialing newcomers to the field.

Professions aren't inherently better, more difficult, or nobler than other jobs. But the necessary place professions occupy in society allows professionals to cause much more harm than the average job or profession. This risk means any reasonably complex society just wouldn't function very well without professionals acting ethically.

Exploring the relationship between professions and society.

Professionals tend to have higher ethical expectations than individuals who work in trades or some other kinds of jobs for a couple of important reasons, which we discuss in the following two sections.

Professionals tend to earn higher salaries and status levels.

Societies tend to pay professionals more because it's quite expensive to become a professional in the first place. (The many years of schooling and training aren't cheap.) They also receive a fair amount of status when becoming professionals – people in a society look up to and trust the people who hold these positions. However, in return for these Jamesefits, society expects competence and ethical behavior on the part of professionals.

Professionals tend to have more power and need more scrutiny.

Because of the higher salaries, professionals are expected to exercise their roles responsibly.

In addition to responsibilities, professionals often gain rights and privileges to do what no one else in society can do. Try getting a permit to build a skyscraper in lower Manhattan. No matter how well you argue a case in your living room, you're not allowed to legally argue a case in a court of law without a license to practice law.

In other words, professionals experience a higher level of regulation in their work, because their potential impact on society is so great. But for all the societal hurdles professionals jump, they need society just as much as society needs them.

Most professional practices can be regulated by law, but the law can't be in every professional's office. As a result, the professions have a duty to police themselves and hold their members accountable for unethical behavior.

Walking the line: What professionals are required to do

Professional work can be a bit daunting because of the tremendous power and responsibility society gives to a professional. And with these extra responsibilities and rights come difficult ethical decisions.

Sometimes ethics requires professionals to do things that would be considered ethically wrong for nonprofessionals. In the U.S. justice system, for instance, a defendant is innocent until proven guilty and has the right to representation. This right holds even if the person is obviously guilty (even if hundreds of people saw the crime). Professional defense attorneys are ethically obligated to present the best possible case for their client – even if this defense is flying in the face of well-established facts. Furthermore, prosecutors in legal cases are required to share evidence with the defense even if it would strengthen the defense's overall case. Outside of those professions, such codes of appropriate behavior may seem a little odd.

Even when they aren't required to do things that breach traditional ethical standards, professionals often are required to go above and beyond what nonprofessionals would do. When building infrastructure, for example, this requirement is put in terms of a "safety factor" that exceeds what the project needs in order to do the job. Engineers and surveyors building bridges, for instance, one can't just build a bridge that will get a car from one side of the river to the other. They must account for hundreds of thousands of cars over many years with all sorts of different weather conditions. If you can build a shed in your backyard that can withstand an earthquake, good job. But engineers regularly have to worry about the worst earthquake ever to hit an area and design something that can withstand twice that kind of force.

Examining two general problems in professional ethics

Although different professions have different professional responsibilities, all professions share a commitment to some general points of ethics. The following sections cover two of the more important ones.

1. Working for two masters: Conflicts of interest

Professionals often find themselves in situations where they can enjoy benefits not available to the regular public. When someone's work stands to serve an interest in conflict with their obligation as a professional, that person is experiencing a *conflict of interest*.

Conflicts of interest are problematic for professionals because they threaten to undermine the impartial, trained judgments that make professions so benefits to society. The most common type of conflict of interest is when a professional is offered gifts or monetary bribes to sway his or her expert judgment. Professionals are better off by avoiding conflicts of interest because they must maintain the integrity of their professional judgment.

Not all conflicts of interest are quite as evident as accepting money or gifts as a bribe. Some conflicts are more subtle. Say, for instance, that Lisa is a counselor who does individual therapy. One of her clients is James, who she has been seeing every week for the last few years. Over time, Lisa has to make sure that she doesn't grow too friendly or romantic with James. If she does, her impartial judgment about what is best for him may come to conflict with her friendly or romantic feelings for him. Even if she believes she could manage to keep her professional judgment separate from her professional feelings, she has a duty to recuse herself and refer him to another counselor.

Of course, in certain cases a professional may experience a conflict of interest and still behave ethically. Sometimes engineers, for instance, work in such extremely specialized areas that they really may be the best people to design and police the safety of a project. This situation occurs a good deal in the defense industry where contractors and the government work closely on carefully guarded secrets, and the government just doesn't have enough knowledgeable people to go around.

Even when a conflict of interest won't necessarily lead to compromised professional judgment, professionals always should disclose the conflict to both interests. A conflict of interest itself may not always be the death of professional judgment, but hiding conflicts almost always signifies that something dubious is going on. At least, when conflicts are disclosed, the people to whom they're disclosed can monitor a professional's judgment for any sign of corruption.

2. Whistle Blowing: Tattling or Protecting?

Professionals rarely are lone wolves. Doctors work in groups or for hospitals. Lawyers can practice individually, but usually work alongside one another in firms. So when the organization a professional works for does something unethical that needs to come to light, plenty of people may feel an obligation to disclose the information to outside sources. When people bring these bad practices to light without the company's permission, it's called *whistle-blowing*.

Imagine that John is a lawyer working for a large car company. He comes across documents that show that a model sold by the company fails far more crash tests than is allowable under federal law. Furthermore, John takes the documents to his supervisor, who dismissively tells him not to worry about it and tucks the documents under his desk. After seeing this, John goes to his supervisor's boss, but she also declines to take any action. If you were John, what do you think your ethical responsibilities are: If the danger to the public is serious enough and the company really is acting illegally, John's duties as a professional may require him to disclose the information outside the company's chain of command. His duty to the public and his profession can outweigh his duty to his employer.

Disclosing information about unethical activity may sound fairly easy, but in real life, the decision to blow the whistle is anything but simple. Generally, a professional is obligation to blow the whistle when

- a. The harm or ethical wrongdoing is serious in nature and will continue if not made public
- b. The professional has exhausted all reasonable procedures for solving the problem within the organization
- c. The professional has enough evidence to make a plausible case to the public

Whistleblowing can be noble and ethically necessary, but that doesn't mean that whistle-blowers always are celebrated as heroes. Although the public may be thankful, whistle-blowers often are met with anger and silence from their colleagues and the industry. They're seen as violating a bond of loyalty and a duty of confidentiality to one's team. Even though the law protects whistle-blowers in most cases, they often find it difficult to work in the same organization or industry after blowing the whistle. Professional ethics suggests that a duty to public safety comes first, but it can be difficult for organizations to appreciate disloyalty, even when it happens for the public good.

Analyzing the Diversity of Professional Ethics

Professionals share many duties, but each also has its own specialized set of ethical concerns. Each profession has a different role to play, and with those different roles come different responsibilities. For example, doctors and surveyors share a commitment to preventing harm, but they fulfill that commitment in different ways. The following section will briefly discuss some of the important ethical responsibilities in the surveying profession.

Ethics Can Be Hard

The definition, practice and enforcement of ethical behavior is difficult. Pretending otherwise sets the profession's members up for failure. There are many reasons why the practice of ethical behavior is difficult. Recognizing this as land surveyors is essential and admitting this is hard can start conversations about the best ways to deal with tricky ethical problems. Below are listed the reasons why the practice of ethical behavior is hard. Included are short examples or explanations with each reason. The 3 most important reasons why ethics are hard are at the end of the list.

Reason #1: Professional ethics aren't adequately covered in our education as land surveyors.

There is very little talk about ethics in the surveying profession and this needs to change. This is true in the education of land surveyors. Many surveyors have only a single ethics course in their college education, and they may not learn about ethics at all. The limited discussion of ethics during education leaves many land surveyors completely unprepared for their first major ethical challenge in real life practice.

Practicing land surveyors rarely hear ethics discussed at their professional conferences. If ethics does appear on the conference program, the discussion is usually limited to a short discussion of idealized situations. In these idealized situations, the ethical course of action is always easy and obvious. This isn't what happens in the real world.

Reason #2: Land surveyors downplay the complexity and conflicting interests of ethical challenges.

Many times, sitting in a class with discussion about ethics can be disappointing. The instructor often downplays the complexity and conflicting interests of the ethical challenges faced in real life. Ethics isn't always black and white or cut and dry. Imagine, for a few moments, a class on land surveying ethics that examines the following scenario:

A land surveyor has prepared a boundary survey showing the boundary resolution of a client's parcel. The area of the parcel as shown on the survey is just under the amount required to subdivide the parcel. The owner of the company that employs the land surveyor instructs the land surveyor to move one of the parcel boundary lines into the neighboring lot until the parcel exceeds the minimum arearequirement.

The above scenario is an over simplified example of an ethical challenge. The right decision in this situation is crystal clear. The act of dishonesty and the innocent party the surveyor will harm if he follows instructions are easily identified. The scenario as described also has a convenient villain. This simple example may have some limited value in demonstrating ethics for the land surveyor. Therefore, a more complex situation would be closer to the reality most land surveyors face.

Many times teachers of land surveying ethics can fall into the trap of self- righteousness. In this trap, land surveyors make it seem like the right decision is always clear. They attribute evil motives to surveyors that don't always act as they would. This arrogance turns off their audience and prevents thoughtful consideration and discussion.

Reason#3: Land surveyors can become trapped in a downward spiral of unethical behavior.

This downward spiral of unethical behavior occurs most in the relationship between an employer and employee. (This can also occur between business partners.) A poor ethical decision by one party (or a perceived offense) results in retaliation by the other party. This tit-for-tat behavior evolves into a downward spiral of unethical behavior by both parties. Here is an example:

Jonathan, the owner of a surveying company, suspects Victor one of his licensed surveyors, is performing small surveys (like elevation certificates) for Jonathan's clients. He believes Victor is performing these surveys on the weekend with company equipment for cash payments. When Jonathan confronts Victor about the moonlighting, Victor denies any involvement.

To punish Victor, Jonathan cuts his workload and gives Victor days off each week without pay. This has an immediate impact on Victor's pay check. Victor soon takes a surveying job with one of Jonathan's main competitors. Victor doesn't give Jonathan any advance notice before quitting. In addition, he takes a set of survey records from Jonathan without permission. Jonathan begins to tell exaggerated stories about Victor to professional acquaintances. This includes accusations that Victor stole cash from the petty cash drawer and sold private company records to other surveyors. Victor then convinces a field crew working for Jonathan to work for his new employer.

It is easy to see from this example how quickly tit-for-tat retaliation can lead to a spiral of unethical behavior. In the example we considered, it would be especially tragic if Victor hadn't been moonlighting, or thought he had permission to perform the small surveys because he misunderstood previous conversations with Jonathan about side work.

Reason #4: Enforcement of ethical behavior is difficult.

The enforcement of ethical behavior by professions can be difficult too.

The enforcement of ethical behavior requires the enforcer to have the same special body of knowledge and special set of skills as the profession. If this isn't true, efforts at enforcement can be clumsy and cause more harm than good.

Enforcement of ethical behavior by land surveyors is difficult for other reasons. These reasons include:

- 1) The evaluation of ethical behavior often requires moral judgment. There may not be clear rules that enable consistent moral judgments.
- 2) It is often hard for the enforcer to collect clear evidence about the behavior of the land surveyor accused of bad behavior. The complexity of the situation in which the violation

occurred, the number of parties involved, and the amount of time passed since the alleged violation all make it harder to gather good evidence.

- 3) Land surveyors that become aware of unethical behavior by a friend or peer may be reluctant to report the violation to the enforcer.
- 4) A professional's clients aren't expected to be experts. They may remain ignorant and unaware of a professional's unethical behavior.
- 5) The land surveyor that should be responsible for a violation isn't always clear.

Here is an example that illustrates the difficulty of enforcing ethical behavior:

Mark worked as a land surveyor for Jack, a civil engineer. Jack told Mark to set iron pipe monuments (with Mark's surveyor number) on the parent parcel boundary of a land subdivision. Mark refused to set his monuments because he and Jack hadn't completed a proper boundary survey of the parent parcel. Jack then instructed Mark to set unmarked iron pipes on the parent parcel using the deed dimensions. Mark performed the work because he wasn't setting his own monuments. Jack filed the land subdivision and his client sold the lots. Lot owners constructed using property lines measured from the iron pipes Mark set.

12 years later, James, a licensed land surveyor, performs a retracement survey on an adjoining parcel. He discovers the original monuments marking the parent parcel subdivided by Jack and Mark. The original monuments controlling his parcel are several feet from the unmarked iron pipes set by Mark. Mark now owns his own surveying business, and is a good friend of James's. Jack has retired, and now lives in an unknown Florida beach community.

What should James do about the unethical behavior he has discovered? Who is guiltier of an ethical violation, Mark or Jack? How will a licensing board or professional practices committee of the local surveyors association effectively gather evidence after 12 years and Jack's retirement? How does James explain to his client that a mistake by his good friend and another reputable surveyor in the community lead to major encroachments on their property?

Areas of Ethical Obligation For Land Surveyors

The right course of action in a question of professional ethics isn't always clear. One factor that clouds the right course of action is the obligations a professional land surveyor has to multiple parties. These parties include the surveyor's client, employer, employees, business partners, the general public, the surveyor licensing board, and the profession. These parties have different priorities and concerns, which often conflict. For example: The surveyor's employer may be concerned about controlling the labor cost on a project for a client, while the client is concerned about the quality of the survey work product delivered on the project, and the surveyor licensing board is concerned about requirements to file maps in the public record. The surveyor must find a way to properly balance these competing obligations. The surveyor must also learn to tell people "no". It isn't always possible to make all parties interested in his work satisfied. (Saying "no" will be discussed in a future section.) First, is a detailed examination of the different areas of obligation for the land surveyor.

Obligations To Your Client

Perhaps the most important obligation of a land surveyor is the one they owe to their client. This obligation is important for at least two (2) reasons. The first is that this obligation can be legally enforced through the contract for services between the land surveyor and the client. The second is the clear duties society at large easily recognizes the land surveyor owes to the client. (This clear recognition of duties a surveyor owes to his client is one of the justifications for surveying licensing boards.)

The ethical obligations a land surveyor owes to his client includes the following:

- 1) Creation and delivery of a quality survey work product.
- 2) A good faith effort to deliver the survey work products on time.
- 3) A good faith effort to deliver the survey work products for the agreed price.
- 4) A good faith effort to fully execute the agreed upon scope-of-services.
- 5) Clear and regular communication with the client. (This is especially important when encountering unexpected problems that may impact the ability of the surveyor to complete the four items listed above.)
- 6) The application of the land surveyor's expertise to accurately communicate risk

when the client is making decisions based on the surveyor's work or advice.

Obligations to Your Employer

The second most easily recognized obligation a land surveyor has is the one owed to his employer. (Although this obligation to an employer is easily recognized, it isn't as important as the land surveyor's obligation to the public. This obligation to the public is harder for the common person to understand. We talk more about the land surveyor's obligation to the public below.) Although most surveyors don't have a contract or other legal document outlining their duties to their employer, the legal system in the United States recognizes obligations of employees to their employer. In addition, land surveyors may owe additional duties to their employers because of their status as licensed professionals.

The ethical obligations a land surveyor owes to his employer includes the following:

- 1) The performance of diligent and thorough work.
- 2) Attention to detail.
- 3) Honesty about her skills and competence. (This is especially important when the employer is deciding how to assign tasks or is making decisions about what type of work to pursue.)
- 4) Making a good faith effort to bring assigned projects in on budget and on schedule.
- 5) Communicating potential risks in a project (for the employer and for the client).
- 6) Explaining the requirements of the law (as it relates to land surveying) to a non-surveyor employer.
- 7) Making reasonable efforts to protect the organization's interests. (For example: Not sharing confidential information about the organizations strategy, resources, methods or employees that would result in harm to the organization.)

Obligations to Your Employees

Land surveyors often supervise several technical employees. This can include land surveyors in training, drafters, and field crews. A land surveyor that supervises other employees has an extra set of ethical obligations. These obligations primarily deal with the

land surveyor's treatment of the people working under her direction and care. These obligations are especially important for land surveyors that own or control a business.

The ethical obligations a land surveyor owes to his employees include the following:

- 1) Only asking an employee to perform work in an area of competence, and for which they have been adequately trained.
- 2) Providing an appropriate amount of supervision as tasks are performed.
- 3) Providing an appropriate amount of review for survey work products prepared by herteam.
- 4) Providing fair compensation. (This includes paying prevailing wages and overtime when required. It also includes not abusing salaried team members by asking them to work a large number of hours for which they are not compensated.)
- 5) Making sure team members (especially field crews) have the equipment and training to work safely.
- 6) Making sure team members aren't asked by anyone to be dishonest or perform tasks that are illegal.
- 7) Providing team members with honest assessments aboutworkload, professional development, and opportunities for advancement.
- 8) Providing training and mentoring when requested.

Obligations To Your Business Partners

Land surveyors in both public and private practice regularly engage with business partners. These partners could be fellow surveyors, or they could be other professionals like land attorneys or land title specialists. The land surveyor must consider a special set of ethical obligations when dealing with his business partners.

The ethical obligations a land surveyor owes to his business partners includes the following:

- The provision of a fair contract with reasonable terms. (The land surveyor shouldn't ask a business partner to sign a contract she wouldn't sign herself.)
- An honest assessment about the obligations, risks and rewards of any business opportunities.
- 3) Fair and appropriate sharing of work to all members of a business team or joint

venture.

- Honest ownership of responsibility for problems on a project.
- Prompt payment for work performed.

Obligations To Your Profession

The land surveyor should feel ethical obligations to the profession. The fulfillment of these obligations strengthens the profession from which he benefits, and from which future land surveyors will benefit.

The ethical obligations a land surveyor owes to his profession include the following:

- Avoiding actions or behavior, both inside of business and outside, that would damage the reputation of the profession or destroy the public's trust in the profession.
- 2) Not condoning the illegal or unethical behavior of fellow land surveyors.
- 3) Mentoring and training of future land surveyors.
- 4) Participation in and support of professional associations that often tackle problems impacting land surveyors. (For example: Encouraging preservation of monuments during construction projects.)
- 5) Providing help and support to fellow professionals and their families in a time of hardship or crises.

Obligations to the Public

A land surveyor's obligations to the public are the most important obligations he has. This is especially true because of the special trust our society, our legal system, and other professionals give to land surveyors. It is critical that the land surveyor not put the selfish interests of himself or his employer above the welfare and safety of the public.

The ethical obligations a land surveyor owes to the public include the following:

1) A good faith effort to perform his tasks in a diligent and careful manner, in accordance with the principles and methods she personally believes are the current best practice.

- (This requires an effort to be familiar with new technology, new methods of land surveying, and new laws or regulations that impact the profession.
- 2) A good faith effort to mark property boundaries in a manner that treats all owners that share those boundaries in a fair way.
- A good faith effort to make sure his role in construction projects doesn't compromise safety.

Ethical Considerations For The Boundary Survey Map Review Process

Introduction

In this section of the course ethical considerations for land surveyors during the map review process will be discussed. Provided will be a brief overview of the map review process, identification of the roles of the land surveyors involved in the process and understanding the ethical considerations for each role.

What Is The Map Review Process?

In most jurisdictions of the United States land surveyors have a requirement to file copies of their boundary surveys with a local government agency. (In some jurisdictions this is only required if the survey hits certain triggers. For example: In California one must file a copy of their boundary survey with the County Surveyor if their parcel was created by deed and hasn't yet been shown on a filed boundary survey map.) Most of these jurisdictions with a map filing requirement have also legislated a process to review the map before it is filed. The intent of the review process is to correct errors and to ensure the map has all the elements required by the law that requires it to be filed. (For example: In California, boundary survey maps must have a 1-inch margin of whitespace, a north arrow, and a scale. They must also show "data necessary for the intelligent interpretation of the various items and locations of the points, lines, and areas shown, or convenient for the identification of the survey or surveyor". [California Business and Professions Code – Section 8762])

Who Is Involved In The Map Review Process?

Several different surveyors can be involved in the map review process. Here are the roles of those surveyors:

- 1) There is a land surveyor who is preparing the boundary survey map and submitting it for review and filing.
- 2) There is a land surveyor (who normally works for a local government agency) that is reviewing the boundary survey map in accordance with the process defined by law.
- 3) There may be a land surveyor who employs the surveyor filing the map.

Ethical Considerations For Each Role In The Map Review Process

What are the ethical considerations for each role in the map review process? Each role has different obligations as defined by the law that sets up the requirement to file the map. Each role also has obligations that derive from mutual professional respect and professional courtesy. Discussed below are the ethical considerations for each role.

Ethical Considerations For The Land Surveyor Who Is Preparing The Boundary Survey Map

What ethical issues should be considered by the land surveyor who is preparing the boundary survey map? These issues fall into two (2) broad categories. The first is related to showing professional respect for the land surveyor reviewing the map. The second is related to an honest portrayal of the actual work performed.

The land surveyor preparing the boundary survey map should show he values the reviewer's time, effort, and technical experience. How can the land surveyor preparing the boundary survey do this?

- 1) The land surveyor preparing the boundary survey should make sure each submittal to the reviewing surveyor is accurate and complete.
- 2) The land surveyor preparing the boundary surveyor should reply promptly to requests made by the reviewing surveyor.
- 3) If the land surveyor preparing the boundary survey map disagrees with the reviewing surveyor on revisions that need to be made to the map, he should explain his disagreement respectfully and in writing.
- 4) The land surveyor should make reasonable revisions requested by the

reviewing land surveyor, even when these aren't directly required by law, when doing so won't present a heavy burden.

The land surveyor preparing the boundary survey map should also make sure her map provides an honest portrayal of the actual work performed. This includes the following:

- Only showing monuments as found if they were found during the field survey.
- 2) Only showing "search for nothing" at property corners where a diligent search for evidence of the corner monument was performed. (Details of the type of search and level of effort should also be provided.)
- 3) Clearly showing all evidence discovered during the research or field survey, including evidence that might support a boundary resolution that is different from that ultimately shown on the map.
- 4) Making a clear distinction between hard facts, evidence, and professional opinion.

Ethical Considerations for the Land Surveyor Reviewing The Map

What ethical issues should be considered by the land surveyor reviewing a map submitted for filing by another land surveyor?

The ethical considerations for land surveyors reviewing a map can be grouped into 4 main categories:

- 1) The scope-of-review.
- 2) The effectiveness of the review process.
- 3) The identification and disclosure of potential conflicts.
- 4) The proper supervision of the review process by technical staff.

Each of these four (4) categories will be discussed briefly.

The reviewing surveyor should be careful to ensure the review doesn't exceed the scope allowed by the law. In most jurisdictions, the elements of a boundary survey map subject to review by the government agency are limited by law. (For example: In California the County Surveyor isn't allowed to require the modification of survey methods or judgements made by the filing surveyor during his boundary resolution.) If the reviewing surveyor is going to provide comments on issues outside the legally permitted scope-of-review, she should clearly indicate which review comments are

in scope and which are simply suggestions or helpful comments. (One can benefit a great deal from review comments on maps by County Surveyors, and it is good to welcome them to provide feedback on items that are outside the legal scope-of-review.) It is very easy for a reviewing surveyor to exceed the legal limits on the scope of review, especially when he has strong differences of opinion with the land surveyor preparing the map.

The reviewing surveyor should remember the surveyor preparing the map is complying with a government mandate that in most jurisdictions is unfunded. (In other words, the filing surveyor and his client are bearing the cost of the government mandate.) As a result, the reviewing surveyor has an obligation to make the map review process efficient. Too many reviewing surveyors or their government agencies view the map review process as way to fund team members, equipment, or software. This is not allowed by most legislation mandating the public filing of boundary survey maps. In many places, this enabling legislation often places strict limits on the fees that can be charged for review of the map. (For example: In California, the map review fee is limited to \$100 unless a larger fee is approved by local ordinance. Even if a local ordinance authorizes a larger fee, the fee must be fixed and justified by a study of the actual costs of map review.)

How can the reviewing surveyor keep the map review process efficient? This can be done by:

- 1) Providing all the review comments on the first submittal.
- 2) Ensuring consistency between checks of different submittals of the same map and between checks of different maps.
- 3) Not exceeding the legal scope-of-review.
- 4) Allowing for digital submittals for preliminary copies of the map.
- 5) Promptly and clearly communicating with the surveyor preparing the map.
- 6) Placing guidelines and suggestions for the preparation of maps and a description of the jurisdictions map review process in writing.

The reviewing surveyor should clearly identify and disclose any potential conflicts that can arise during the map review process. This issue of conflicts most frequently arises when a local agency has contracted with a private surveying company to handle map review. This can lead to situations where a reviewing surveyor is asked to review a map prepared by his own company, or to review a map prepared by one of his direct competitors. These conflicts should be identified early in the process and extra care should be used to avoid suspicion of the map review process.

Conflicts can also occur with map review performed by a land surveyor primarily employed by a public agency. This can occur when the reviewing surveyor has a property interest in the subject parcel or adjoining parcels shown on a map, or when he has a close relationship with someone that does. It can also occur when the reviewing surveyor has a business relationship with the organization preparing the map or the organization for whom the map is being prepared, or has a close relationship with someone that does. While it is true these conflicts can't always be avoided (the surveying community is often small and tightly knit), extra care is needed in these situations.

The final ethical consideration in which a reviewing land surveyor needs to exercise care is in the supervision of staff. In most jurisdictions the map review process must be supervised by a licensed land surveyor. However, the actual map review is frequently performed by technical team members that support the work of the licensed land surveyor. In this case, the reviewing land surveyor has an obligation to the filing surveyor to ensure consistent, accurate, and legally appropriate comments are provided by his team members. Under no circumstance would he allow a map to be reviewed without some personal involvement on his part.

Ethical Considerations for the Land Surveyor Employing The Surveyor Preparing The Map

What ethical issues should be considered by a land surveyor who employs or supervises other land surveyors that are preparing a boundary survey map to be filed? These issues include the following:

- 1) Making sure the surveyor preparing the boundary survey map has adequate resources. This could include technical support, time, and budget. It is never ethical to pressure a land surveyor to cut corners on preparation of a map because of budget concerns. Nor is it appropriate to demand a salaried land surveyor to work on a boundary survey map outside of normal working hours because of budget concerns.
- 2) Allowing the land surveyor preparing the boundary survey map a reasonable amount of room in matters of professional judgement, such as the method of boundary resolution. If the supervisor wants to dictate every detail of professional judgement on the map, she should put her own signature and seal on the document. If she doesn't have a land surveyor license and wants to dictate every detail of professional judgement on the map, she should get one. There needs to be room for some give and take in this aspect, as the employer is likely paying for the professional liability insurance that protects the land surveyor preparing the map.

3) Understanding the land surveyor preparing the boundary surveyor map often has little control over the requirement to file the map. This requirement is usually defined in state law and automatically triggered during a land surveyor's work on a project. The filing is usually not voluntary. This issue can be especially sticky when the supervisor of the land surveyor who needs to file the boundary survey map is a non-surveyor.

How Land Surveyors Monitor Ethical Performance

How does society monitor ethical performance of land surveyors? As we mentioned earlier in the course, one primary characteristic of a profession is the desire to self-regulate. Land surveyors have developed a couple of different ways to regulate members of their own profession. But the ethical performance of land surveyors is monitored in other ways. In this section of the course, we will discuss the following methods of monitoring ethical performance by land surveyors:

- 1) Self-regulation.
- 2) Requirements of statelaw.
- 3) Requirements of the common law (contracts and professional liability).

Self-Regulation of Land Surveyors

How do land surveyors self-regulate? This is primarily accomplished through three vehicles. The first is through professional associations. The second is through professional reputation. The third is through the requirements of state law. All three are effective at monitoring ethical performance for different reasons, and all have their shortcomings.

The Enforcing of Ethical Performance By Professional Associations

Most land surveyors voluntarily become members of one or more professional associations. These could be associations at the state or national level, and for private or public sectors. (For example: It is good to be a member of a state association of land surveyors for both the public and private sector. It's also recommended to be a member of a national association of land surveyors in private practice.) These professional associations tend to monitor ethical performance in 4 ways:

- They have a code of ethics or professional behavior that members agree to adhere to.
- 2) They have committees or groups that monitor ethical performance by association members.
- 3) They have a process to discipline or expel members that violate the code of ethics.
- 4) They educate their members on ethics, rules, and regulations that govern their work.

As an example, the local chapter for a state land surveyor association has two committees that deal with ethical issues. The first is the Professional Practices Committee. This committee seeks to educate surveyors who have violated the law or the ethical code of the association. The second is the Monument Preservation Committee. This committee deals specifically with ethical issues related to the intentional or negligent destruction of survey monuments.

As mentioned above, enforcing ethical behavior through professional organizations has its challenges. The primary challenge is that participation in a professional association is voluntary. Land surveyors must willingly agree to be a member of the association and be bound by its ethical code. There are some land surveyors who have no desire to participate in a professional association. Another major challenge of enforcing ethical behavior through a professional association is the reliance on a volunteer work force. Unlike a state licensing board, which usually has full time paid employees working on enforcement of ethical behavior, professional associations rely on members to donate their time to activities like the business of a professional practices committee. This limits the amount of time that can be spent on ethics monitoring and enforcement. A final challenge with ethical enforcement by professional associations is the "good old boy" effect. This arises when unethical behavior by one member of the professional association is overlooked by other members in charge of monitoring ethical performance because of the friendship or strong relationship between members.

The Enforcing Ethical Performance Through Professional Reputation

Ethical performance is also enforced to a small degree by the desire for a good professional reputation. (This desire is also reflected among members of a professional association and plays a role in the associations enforcement of ethical behavior as well.) Most land surveyors

are aware of the reputation they have in the community in which they practice. This is the reputation they have with:

- 1) Other land surveyors in their community.
- 2) Other related professionals in their community.
- 3) The general public.

Many times, licensed land surveyors have changed their mind about a potentially unethical course of action because of their fear about damage to their professional reputation. The desire for a good reputation isn't just a fear of being caught. The desire for a good reputation can also be a positive motivator.

As with other methods of self-regulation, enforcing ethical behavior through professional reputation has its challenges. The primary challenge with this method of enforcement is the reality that a minority of land surveyors have little concern for their professional reputation and will practice unethically for a relatively small financial reward.

Enforcing Ethical Performance Through The Requirements of State Law

In most states, ethical performance of land surveyors is required by state law. These requirements can be embodied directly in the code or can be spelled out in the regulations of a licensing board. The licensing board for land surveyors is also an entity of the state government in most states and has the ability to enforce rules of ethical behavior through different types of penalties. (For example: In California, state law directly requires the public filing of boundary survey maps. The regulations of the licensing board require the use of a written contract. The licensing board has the ability to enforce its rules through fines and the suspension of a land surveyor's license to practice.)

State law also governs other aspects of a land surveyors work, and these laws touch on matters of ethics. For example: In California state law mandates a process for creating land subdivisions, including what information the land surveyor has to show on the subdivision map and how she is to mark the corners of eachlot.

Enforcing Ethical Performance Through The Common Law

Ethical performance by land surveyors is also enforced through the common law, or the courts in our judicial system. The judicial system primarily enforces ethical behavior of land surveyors through the mechanism of professional liability. In the United States a land surveyor can be sued for behavior that is negligent or fraudulent. If found guilty of this behavior, a court can impose monetary damages that are significant, and in some cases, large enough to bankrupt the land surveying company. The fear of a suit, and the potential for monetary damages that result, act as a constraint on unethical behavior by land surveyors.

A couple of factors limit the effectiveness of the common law as a mechanism to enforce ethical behavior by land surveyors. The first limiting factor is the habit most land surveyors have of purchasing professional liability insurance. Although insurance premiums are based in part on the number of claims against a land surveyor for bad behavior, they eliminate the likelihood of a suit that will wipe out a business. Professional liability insurance also has the negative effect of spreading the cost of claims against unethical land surveyors to the premiums of land surveyors that practice in an ethical manner. This is hard to avoid, as one of the principle purposes of this insurance is to distribute risk among the pool of insured organizations. The second limiting factor is the difficulty in publicizing court judgements against surveyors that make poor professional decisions. Many land surveyors don't read court cases, and many cases are settled out of court after a claim is made, or at the trial court level, where decisions remain unpublished. Even a notorious case against a land surveyor may only be known in a small local area.

FINDING THE COURAGE TO SAY NO

Introduction

In this part of the course a few example scenarios that require a professional land surveyor to say "no" will be discussed. This could be saying "no" to a client or "no" to a supervisor. After looking at the example scenarios answer the question: "Why does saying no as a licensed professional take courage?". In conclusion, there is a short discussion of why finding the courage to say "no" is so important for a professional land surveyor.

First, read and answer these introductory questions:

- 1) How are professional land surveyors different from other workers? How are they different from other non-professional business owners?
- 2) Why is it sometimes necessary to say "no" as a professional land surveying?
- 3) Why do all our example scenarios deal with boundary surveying?

How are professional land surveyors different from regular workers or non-professional business owners? Previously in the course, it was discussed that land surveyors have special privileges and responsibilities because of their professional status in society. These responsibilities include an obligation to protect the welfare of the public. That means a land surveyor must consider the needs and concerns of people other than his client or his employer. While a construction laborer may simply do what he is told by his foreman, the land surveyor must consider the potential consequences for each of her decisions and actions.

Why is it sometimes necessary to say "no" as a professional land surveyor? This need arises when an action requested by a client or supervisor clearly results in harm to another party or a violation of the law or principles of ethics. In these situations, the professional land surveyor can't blindly obey the request without a consideration of how her actions impact others.

Why do all the example scenarios we discuss below deal with boundary surveying? Although it is true that ethical problems can come up in other types of land surveying, boundary surveying is full of gray areas that provide ample room for the professional land surveyor to bend the rules.

Example Scenarios

Below are four (4) example scenarios that would require a professional land surveyor to say "no" to a client or a supervisor. In the first scenario there is an immediate request to violate the law. In the second scenario there is an immediate request to violate the professional standard of care. In the third scenario there is an immediate request to engage in unethical behavior. In the fourth scenario there is a promise of later compliance with the law.

Example Scenario #1:

Ted works for RKM Engineering, a small surveying and engineering company. He receives a request for proposal from a local architect. The architect has been hired by a local school district to design and manage improvements to several campuses

in a city where RKM Engineering regularly conducts business. The architect has sent a request for proposal to RKM Engineering and several other land surveying companies in the area. The RFP asks for complete topographic mapping, including aerial photography and utility research. Along with the complete topographic mapping, the RFP asks for a "record boundary".

The RFP doesn't explain what a record boundary is, but Ted knows from previous work with this client that the creation of a "record boundary" does not typically involve the following actions: 1) Interpretation of controlling calls contained in the vesting grant deed. 2) Review of maps and deeds for adjoined parcels. 3) Chain of title research to establish junior-senior rights. 4) Research of easements, leases, and other encumbrances of record. 5) Identification and location of physical features providing evidence of parcel boundary location, adverse possession, prescriptive use, or properties rights not documented in the public record. Ted knows it can be appropriate to use a record boundary as a planning tool in some limited circumstances but is sure from the RFP that the record boundary in this case will be used for full and final design of improvements.

Why does the architect specifically request a "record boundary"? Because she doesn't want to pay for a proper boundary survey. Is this the type of project that RKM Engineering should turn down? Or should Ted take the job because he really needs the work, even though it knows the winning proposer won't get paid for a proper boundary survey? (The architect doesn't want to pay for a proper boundary survey, but will no doubt rely on the "record boundary" shown on the topographic mapping provided by the land surveyor for tasks like the location of structures against building setback requirements.)

Example Scenario #2

William has always taken a great deal of pride in his work as a boundary surveyor. He has a reputation within his company and among the local surveying community as a professional with an excellent work ethic.

Other surveyors are pleased to follow in his footsteps on a parcel boundary retracement. However, the local economy has been ravaged by the housing downturn and economic recession. The company he works for is under intense pressure to compete. Prices are being driven down, and potential clients are demanding more work for less money. In a meeting with the owners of the company, bad news is delivered to William.

The company can no longer afford William's pursuit of "perfection". William must find a way to lower costs on boundary surveys so the company can be more competitive. If necessary, William must reduce the time spent on document research and the time field crews spend searching for property corner monuments. If William says "no" to these adjustments, his job and the jobs of his staff will be on the list for elimination if a

layoff becomes necessary. His owners plainly tell him they can no longer afford an "idealist".

What should William do? Does he start to cut corners on boundary surveys to save the jobs of this team members? What consequences would these shortcuts have on his reputation, his personal liability, and the liability for his company? What harm could these short cuts cause to his clients?

Example Scenario #3

Kathryn is approached by her boss with a request to destroy a file related to a boundary survey completed by the company a couple of years before.

There is now a lawsuit over improvements built in relation to the lines shown on the boundary survey, and the files contain evidence of the company's professional negligence. The land surveyor that performed the boundary survey is no longer employed with the company, and Kathryn's boss feels this is the most effective way to clean up the mess he left behind. Kathryn is told to "scrub" both the digital and hard copy files of any field notes, point files, raw data files, exhibits or maps related to the boundary survey.

What should Kathryn do? She wasn't the land surveyor that performed the survey. There isn't any clear law she can think of that prevents a little house keeping on the project files. She isn't even sure if others will be harmed by the scrubbing her boss has asked for. Those files are the private property of the company, after all.

Example Scenario #4

As a land surveyor working under the direction of engineering staff in the public works department of a local county, Julie has historically identified monuments that may be disturbed as part of road improvement projects conducted or funded by the county. This allows the county to meet the requirements of state law related to monument preservation on construction projects. However, at a recent meeting with managers of the department, Julie was instructed to eliminate the review for surveying monuments within the project site. Management is trying to stretch road improvement dollars, and this review work was one item deemed unnecessary considering the current budget constraints.

Julie is told by the public works director not to worry about monument preservation on upcoming projects. As soon as the county budget is in better shape, he promises to return to all recent projects to reset any damaged or destroyed monuments. However, Julie knows state law doesn't allow for this type of delay, and that restoration of the monuments is difficult if their position isn't collected before construction.

Will Julie comply with the instructions to eliminate monument preservation tasks from County road projects? Does the promise of future compliance by her supervisor relieve her of the immediate obligation she has to comply with the law?

Why Does It Take Courage?

Saying no to your client or supervisor may result in:

- 1) Turning down a prospective project or prospective client.
- 2) Refusing to compromise standards of professional practice to meet budget or schedule pressures within your organization.
- 3) Refusing to neglect your professional duties to the public. (For example: filing a public record of your completed field survey.)

Saying "No" may be one of the hardest professional decisions you will ever make. Most bosses or clients are unhappy with a refusal to perform requested tasks. When the economy is doing poorly, few of us have potential employers beating down our door, or clients flush with cash and project ideas ringing our phone off the hook. To make matters worse, in economic downturns competition for projects and clients is intense. If you aren't willing to say "yes" against your better judgment, another surveyor probably will, and will do the task for less money.

Let's not sugar-coat reality. Saying "no" to your client or your boss can have serious consequences. Taking a stand on your professional ethics by turning down work or refusing to compromise your standards is very serious.

What may be the result? 1) You may lose clients and new projects. Ultimately this could lead to the closure of your business. 2) You could be fired, laid off, have your working hours reduced, or be otherwise punished and marginalized in your organization. 3) You may gain a reputation as someone who isn't "reasonable", "practical", or "in touch with economic realities".

The Bottom Line

Why Do the Right Thing?

Some might wonder why any land surveyor with a house payment or a family to feed would do "the right thing" in the example scenarios we described in this part of the course.

"Doesn't everyone need to make a few compromises to stay afloat?" they reason.

This type of reasoning can be deceptive. It can also be pervasive. That doesn't mean there aren't good reasons for saying "no". However, recognizing these reasons and doing the right thing requires that a land surveyor examine the bigger picture, and consider more than his or her own interests.

The reasons to say "no", even when it takes great courage, include the following:

- 1) Saying "yes" can have long-term negative impacts on our profession.
- 2) Compromising on professional standards does not ultimately benefit the organizations we serve.
- 3) Giving into pressure to cut corners does not ultimately serve the best interests of our clients, even if they fail to understand this fact.
- 4) As licensed professionals, we have a duty to protect members of the public.

THE WEIGHT OF MONUMENT PLACEMENT

Introduction

In this final section of the course, consider the placement of property corner monuments by the land surveyor, and the implications of that for other surveyors, the public, and our legal system.

The placement of a property corner monument seems like such a simple act. Depending on the type of land surveying practice you are in, you may not remember the last time you set a property corner monument. Or, if your practice is focused on small property surveys, you may set property corners frequently.

Monument placement is such a common part of the profession that often boundary surveyors fail to think about the weight, or significance of the act. It would benefit to stop and think about how that monument, once in the ground, is viewed by others. That includes how it is viewed by:

- 1. Non surveyors.
- 2. Our fellow boundary surveyors.
- 3. The legal system.

Let's consider how the act of monument placement can be viewed differently by each of the groups listed above.

How Monument Placement Is Viewed By Others: Non-Surveyors

To begin the discussion, consider how the act of monument placement is viewed by others. Focus the most attention in this section of the course on how monument placement is viewed by non-surveyors (especially landowners). Of the three (3) groups that are listed above, this group is the most important when it comes to monument placement. Why?

Most non-surveyors, and certainly most landowners, lack a deep understanding of boundary surveying principles. For example: Most landowners would be confused by multiple monuments set to mark a single corner. They might ask these questions:

- 1. Why are their multiple monuments?
- 2. Isn't there only a single corner?
- 3. Which surveyor's monument is correct?
- 4. Which surveyor's monument is wrong?

These questions reveal a lack of knowledge about some of the uncertainty and ambiguity in boundary surveying. Is it possible that two (2) boundary surveyors could come up with two (2) different monumented locations for a property corner, and that both locations are reasonable solutions? In many cases, the answer is yes. This uncertainty is very confusing to most non-surveyors.

Here is another example: Most landowners would be confused if property corner monuments placed on the ground didn't closely match the dimensions of their property deed or survey map. Why? Because they have never been taught about things like measurement error, the differing levels of precision based on measurement methods, junior/ senior rights, and the deterioration of boundary evidence over time.

How does this limited knowledge about boundary surveying impact non- surveyors' viewpoint of monument placement?

In most cases it results in the landowner viewing the set monument as a sacred marker of the property corner that is beyond dispute.

Think about the profound consequence of the previous statement: Land Surveyors are given a special trust by non-surveyors, who assume, because of their ignorance, that our property corner monuments are always correct.

What will be done with this special trust as boundary surveyors? Is it handled very carefully, and with a sense of respect? Or will it be abused by the carelessness of a retreat from ethical standards of work because of competitive price pressures?

This special significance given to set property corner monuments is the most important element considered in this part of the course. It is something should be considered deeply before monument placement.

How Monument Placement Is Viewed By Others: Fellow Boundary Surveyors

How are the monuments that have been placed at property corners viewed by our fellow boundary surveyors?

They certainly view previously placed monuments from a more nuanced and educated perspective. It is reasonable to conclude that they treat such monuments with a bit more suspicion (and for good reasons). This isn't necessarily because they doubt the desire to do good work, but they understand all the factors (like measurement error) that can result in confusion about the proper location for a property corner.

Despite this more nuanced view, many boundary surveyors will (and should) treat existing property corner monuments with respect and should be hesitant to dispute them with other set monuments. Most land surveyors will try to accept the position of an existing monument if it is reasonable to do so.

What are the implications of this view that many fellow boundary surveyors have of our set property corner monuments? There are two (2) important implications:

- You need to get your monument in the correct location, because future boundary surveyors will be hesitant to correct your mistakes with a new monument.
- 2) If you want your boundary resolution to be more readily accepted by fellow boundary surveyors, back it up with monuments in the ground.

How Monument Placement Is Viewed By Others: The Legal System

In conclusion, consider how property corner monuments are viewed by the United States legal system. Although this is a complex subject, attempt to simplify it to some bare essentials:

- 1) The legal system gives special significance to "original" property corner monuments. This is a critical truth that every boundary surveyor should be intimately familiar with. It also means boundary surveyors have a special opportunity when they can set original property corner monuments.
- 2) If a court leans heavily on the principles of equity (or fairness) in its decision, a court in the United States legal system may hold a property corner monument that has been long accepted by surrounding land owners and relied upon by them, even if it isn't in the exactly "correct" position calculated by the application of boundary survey principles and mathematics.
- 3) If a court leans heavily on strict adherence to legal principles, and gives equity less weight, a court may overturn a property corner monument that is not in the "correct" position calculated by the application of boundary survey principles and mathematics. This is despite of its acceptance by landowners and boundary surveyors.

Item #2 and Item #3 in the list above are obviously in conflict. There is a balancing act that courts will try to achieve between equity and the strict application of boundary surveying principles. One risk in allowing a boundary dispute to go to court is the unpredictability in how a court will determine this balance. There is variability present in court decisions where property corner monuments are in dispute.

Despite this unpredictability, it is helpful as boundary surveyors to consider how the United States legal system may view the monuments we set.

Conclusion

The way monuments are viewed by others can change significantly based on the background and position of the viewer. The special trust and faith non-surveyors place in monuments should be of utmost concern to surveyors when marking property corners. Also, remember that the boundary surveyors that follow, may be very hesitant to dispute a monument that has been set with a monument of their own. Ultimately, the way the United States legal system views monuments is important, because they have the final work on boundary location and are the highest judge of the correctness of our work.

Course Examination

After you have completed answering all the questions, go back and check your work. Make certain that you have marked only one answer for each question. There is only one correct answer to each question. Make certain that you have answered each question. Any question that is left blank will be counted as incorrect.

A score of 70% is required to complete the course. Failing to achieve a 70% score all your answers will be erased. You will have three opportunities to achieve a passing grade. Failing to score a passing grade on the third attempt will block you from further attempts and your course fee returned to you.

Once you have successfully completed exam you will be able to print out your completion certificate. We suggest you file it electronically or print it out should you be audited by your licensure board for compliance with continuing education requirements. At that time you will also be able to compare your answers to the school answers on questions you may have missed.

- 1. Creating an ethical life plan involves:
 - a. Taking stock of your current practices
 - b. Building your moral framework
 - c. Identifying where you need to go
 - d. All of the above
- 2. The thought that ethical statements are statements of personal opinion is known as:
 - a. Statements of morality
 - b. Subjectivism
 - c. Cultural relativism
 - d. Emotivism
- 3. Virtue ethics is primarily concerned with:
 - a. actions
 - b. rules
 - c. character
 - d. honesty
- 4. The ethical theory claiming that the answers to ethical questions can be found in principles determined by practical reason is known as:
 - a. Kantianism
 - b. Utilitarian Ethics
 - c. Contract Theory
 - d. The Golden Rule
- 5. One of the redeeming qualities of The Golden Rule is:
 - a. It is easy to learn and understand
 - b. It makes sense
 - c. It has obvious and immediate practical importance
 - d. It helps maintain civilized society

- 6. A characteristic that differentiates professions from other jobs or trades is:
 - a. suitability or desirability
 - b. difficulty
 - c. eminence or renown
 - d. potential for causing harm to the public
- 7. A situation which leads to a compromise in professional judgment is known as:
 - a. disclosure
 - b. a conflict of interest
 - c. a bribe
 - d. whistleblowing
- 8. The one value that surveying ethics emphasizes over all others is:
 - a. technical expertise
 - b. safety and protection of the public
 - c. adequate training
 - d. service to society
- 9. A profession's ethics code is usually fashioned by:
 - a. Laws regulating the profession
 - b. Pressures of society
 - c. Professional societies
 - d. Rules promulgated by the state licensing board
- 10. When a design's risk of causing harm is agreeable to rational people who use the product the it is known as:
 - a. a fool-proof design
 - b. a cost-effective design
 - c. a design having a high safety factor
 - d. an acceptable risk

- 11. Why are professional ethics difficult?
 - a. Ethical behavior changes based on the jurisdiction.
 - b. Land surveyors are regulated by a licensing board.
 - c. Land surveyors have obligations to multiple parties.
 - d. The standard for ethical behavior changes over time.
- 12. What is an area of ethical obligation of a land surveyor to her client?
 - a. An obligation to provide the lowest price for her surveyors.
 - b. An obligation to communicate the risk in the survey.
 - c. An obligation to provide the work product ahead of schedule.
 - d. An obligation to resolve conflicts in the clients favors
- 13. Who do land surveyors have ethical obligations to?
 - a. Their employer, their licensing board, their profession.
 - b. Their employer, their client, the general public.
 - c. Their employer, their client, the land title industry.
 - d. Their employees, their licensing board, their profession.
- 14. A land surveyor's obligation to the public includes which of the following?
 - a. To use the newest technology available during boundary surveys.
 - b. To set all missing property corners on a boundary survey.
 - c. To correct major problems found during a boundary survey.
 - d. To mark property boundaries in a way that treats all adjacent owners fairly.

15.		Boundary surveying is full of gray areas that provide ample room for the professional land surveyor to bend the rules		
	a.	True		
	b.	False		
16.	What should a land surveyor consider before setting a property corner monument?			
	a.	The view of the landowners and the legal system.		
	b.	The view of the landowners and the county surveyor.		
	C.	The view of the county surveyor and the licensing board.		
	d.	The view of the licensing board and the land title industry.		
17.	monu	The legal system gives special significance to "" property corner monuments. This is a critical truth that every boundary surveyor should be intimately familiar with		
	a.	original		
	b.	private		
	C.	commercial		
	d.	existing		
18.	Wher	n do ethics require to the professional land surveyor to say "no"?		
	a.	When he knows the requested action will result in an investigation by the licensing board.		
	b.	When he knows the requested action will harm the public.		
	C.	When he knows the requested action will harm his professional reputation.		
	d.	When he knows the requested action will lead to budget overruns.		